

Sahel Journal of Life Sciences FUDMA (SAJOLS) March 2024 Vol. 2(1): 185-194 ISSN: 3027-0456 (Print) ISSN: 1595-5915(Online) DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.33003/sajols-2024-0201-023</u>



Research Article

Evaluation of *Eichhornia crassipes, Pistia stratiotes* and *Vetiver zizanoides* in Phytoremediation of a Hospital Wastewater Effluent

^{*}Abdullahi, Fatima Ahmed¹, Muhammad, Mukhtar Namadi² and Abdullahi, Shuaibu Akpai³

¹Department of Biological Science, Faculty of Life Sciences, Kaduna State University, Main Campus, Tafawa Balewa Way, P.M.B 2339, Kaduna State, Nigeria ²Department of Chemistry, Nigerian Defence Academy, Kaduna State

³Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Kaduna

State

*Corresponding Author: fatima.ahmed@kasu.edu.ng; +2348069292694

Received: 27 th February, 2024	Accepted: 28 th March, 2024	Published: 31 st March, 2024
ABSTRACT		

The study assessed the physicochemical parameters from a hospital wastewater treatment plant in Zaria, using a standard method for water and wastewater examination and its phytoremediation by hydroponic treatment method using Eichhornia crassipes (water hyacinth), Pistia stratiotes (Water lettuce) and Vetiveria zizanoides (Vetiver grass). Results recorded were analyzed by one-way Analysis Of Variance at 95% confidence, multiple comparison tests, and quantitative linear relationship. The study showed higher concentrations of Electrical Conductivity (EC) (951.83 µs/cm), Phosphate (PO₄) (64.46 mg/l), Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) (244.90 mg/l), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) (625.50 mg/l), Total Suspended Solid (TSS) (27.30 mg/l), Potassium (K) (40.67mg/l) above the permissible limit standard by WHO and FAO, Phytoremediation showed there was significant difference at P \leq 0.05 in reduction capacity across treatment between water hyacinth, water lettuce and vetiver grass for EC (49.8, 41.6 and 51.4%), TDS (51.3, 47.0 and 63.0%), PO4 (49.0, 45.3 and 53.0%), COD (47.3, 48.4 and 57.1%), NO3 (51.6, 43.1 and 64.6%), TSS (49.5, 42.7 and 60.3%), NH₃ (44.7, 40.8 and 53.2%), SO4 (53.9, 47.2 and 62.8%). Plant analysis results showed higher concentrations of contaminant in the roots of water hyacinth than in the shoot, higher in the shoot of vetiver grass than its roots, and an even distribution in roots and shoots of water lettuce. Therefore, the three plants can be used effectively in the phytoremediation of wastewater contaminants due to cost-effectiveness, eco-friendliness, and the emerging cheaper technology for a lasting solution to the problems of water contamination to both humans and the environment.

Keywords: Wastewater, Contamination, Phytoremediation, Vetiver grass, Water hyacinth, Water lettuce

Citation: Abdullahi, F. A., Muhammad, M. N. and Abdullahi, S. A. (2024). Evaluation of *Eichhornia crassipes, Pistia stratiotes* and *Vetiver zizanoides* in Phytoremediation of a Hospital Wastewater Effluent. *Sahel Journal of Life Sciences FUDMA*, 2(1): 185-194. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.33003/sajols-2024-0201-023</u>

INTRODUCTION

Water is essential and important to human life. Fresh water is a vulnerable resource subjected to various contaminants, while in use for human development and the environment. One of the fundamentals of life for human survival, health and productivity on our planet, is to have access to clean water, but due to increasing demand by the rising population, the resource is under threat (Arimieari *et al.*, 2014). Pollution is regarded as an environmental problem in the world due to its adverse effect on living organism and the past few decades, uncontrolled urbanization has caused serious pollution problem due to sewage

disposal, industrial and hospital effluents discharged to water bodies (Tamil *et al.*, 2012). Pollution of water is measured by assessing the physicochemical parameters of the water (WHO 2017). Therefore, it is important to assess the quality of water before utilizing for irrigation, drinking, fisheries, industrial purposes and also in understanding the processes of complex interaction between climatic and biological activities in the water body (Ramachandra *et al.*, 2014).

Wastewater is "utilized water from any mix of residential, mechanical, Health, business or farming exercises, surface overflow or storm water, and any sewer inflow or sewer penetration" (Suhad et al., 2018). Importantly, treated wastewater plays a major role in meeting the growing water demand in society, supporting sustainable agriculture, and enhancing energy production and industrial development. Hospitals are an essential asset of the population and waste production is the usual outcome of its service delivery. Wastewater from hospitals contains harmful pollutants generated from all activities from medical and non-medical facilities (Tsegahun, 2018). Phytoremediation is a process of using plants to extract and reduce or detoxify waste products and pollutants from both soil and water bodies. About 300 years ago, plants were proposed for use in the treatment of wastewater and have gained increasing attention since last two decades, as an emerging cheaper technology (Carolin et al., 2017). The remediation technique for wastewater involves specific planting arrangements in a hydroponic system, employing floating-plants and numerous other configurations (Abebe et al., 2018). The aquatic plants have been reported for long to detoxify environmental pollutants. The notable environmental contaminants reduced are inorganic and organic pollutants which can he Phytoremediated in various ways (Isiuku and Enyoh 2019).

Water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*. Mart solms) is a perennial aquatic macrophyte of the Pontederiaceae family that is invasive in the amazons. Its impact and potentials on the physicochemical parameters of water, are the ability to decline Biological Oxygen Demand (organic load), and nutrient levels. This makes them suitable for the treatment of wastewater (Gupta *et al.*, 2012).

Water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes* L.) is a floating perennial macrophyte of the family *Araneae*. It is capable of removing nutrients and heavy metals from the sewage sludges and drainage ditches. The physicochemical parameters reduce progressively from the effluent ponds in tropical areas (Dipu *et al.*, 2011).

Vetiver Grass (*Vetiveria zizanoides*) is a perennial grass that can grow up 2m high and 3m deep in the ground. It has a strong dense and vertical root system. It is capable of growing in different environmental conditions and can be effectively use for bioremediation application (Paz-Alberto *et al.*, 2013).



Plate I: Eicchornia crassipesPlate II: FSource: Stuart xchange.orgSource: SDue to usable water scarcity, which is a global issue,
wastewater has to be treated for re-use for certain

 Plate II: Pistia stratiotes
 Plate III: Vetiveria zizanoides

 Source: Semanticscholar.org
 Source: Research gate

 bal issue,
 purposes such as irrigation. More and more countries

 or certain
 are experiencing water stress, and increasing drought

and desertification is already worsening these trends therefore, Protecting and restoring water-related ecosystems is essential. However, cost of conventional treatment of wastewater is high, not suitable for all contaminant removal and in the current economic recession, there is the need for an environmentally friendly and cost-effective treatment method, as bioremediation. In the present study, the main objective is to evaluate the absorbed contaminant in roots and shoots of the three plants and determine the phytoremediation efficiency of the three plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Determination of Physicochemical Parameters

Water Samples were taken from the effluent of the hospital wastewater plant. The parameters were determined as described in the standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater 23rd Edition, APHA (2017). One-litre polyethene bottles were properly washed with mild detergent and then leached with 1:1 HCl overnight. At the Sampling sites, the containers were rinsed several times with deionized water and rinsed three times with the wastewater before the samples was collected. The pH meter (Jenway model 3310), thermometer and conductivity meter (Hach model C0150) where used on-site by immersion of the calibrated instruments. The wastewater sample was taking to the laboratory for further analysis.

Hydroponic Phytoremediation using *Chrysopogon zizanoides, Eichhornia crassipes,* and *Pistia stratiotes*

Six plastic containers 0.35m width x 0.55m length x 0.25m / 0.35m depth each was set up with 3 controls. The containers were filled with wastewater to an effective depth of 0.35m by considering the root potential growth of vetiver grass while a shallow depth of 0.25m for water hyacinth and water lettuce were used. Control unit were filled with wastewater to an effective depth of 0.15m. Sets of floating polystyrene rafts for each replicate hydroponic treatment unit were set up for supporting vetiver tillers on wastewater surface which allowed the vetiver roots to be fully immersed. In each floating platform, 6 holes of 10 x 10cm intervals were made. The roots were washed carefully with tap water to remove adhering soil and sediments prior to use. The plants were acclimatized in distilled water for a week. Then manually, 150g vetiver grass was divided carefully to (avoid damage to the roots) into tillers. Similar size healthy vetiver tillers were selected, pruned to 20cm for the shoots and 10cm for the roots (stem and leaves) to reduce transpiration. Each tiller was planted into the holes in the platform foam and approximately 10cm of the roots was submerged under wastewater during the experimental period. The 50g to 150g water lettuce and hyacinth plant stems respectively, remained above the water level, while their roots grow down through the buoyant structure and into the water column.

The *E. crassipes, P. stratiotes* and *V. zizanoides* planted in the floating form were left to grow for three (3 weeks) and the wastewater were analyzed weekly. Dead shoots were replaced after monitoring for survival conditions (Calheiros *et al.*, 2009).

Laboratory Plant Analysis after Remediation

At the end of the experiments, biomass of those three plants samples from each treatment unit of the wastewater, was harvested from the water platform and transported to the laboratory for analysis. Adhering material such as soil particles on the plants were cleaned by hands. The roots and shoots were separated, rinsed using tap water for 5mins then shaken off. The roots and shoots were then submerged in distilled water for 2mins, dried at 60°C for 72hrs and milled to a fine powder (0.5 to 1.0 mm) in a grinder. The grinded sample was analyzed for physicochemical factors. Contaminants in the roots and the shoots of the plants where assessed for the percentage removal efficiency.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Percentage Reduction of Electrical Conductivity, Total Dissolves Solid, Chlorine and Phosphate in *E. crassipes, P. stratiotes* and *V. zizanoides* plants used for phytoremediation.

Figure 1A shows the percentage reduction in EC using *E. crassipes, P. stratiotes* and *V. zizanoides* plants for phytoremediation. There is significant difference between *V. zizanoides* and *P. stratiotes* while no significant difference was observed at $P \le 0.05$ between *E. crassipes* and *V. zizanoides*. Control shows a slight reduction in EC.

Figure 1B shows there is significant reduction in TDS after phytoremediation amongst *E. crassipes, P. stratiotes* and *V. zizanoides* plants. For TDS, *V. zizanoides* has the highest percentage of reduction and significantly different from *P. stratiotes* while *E. crassipes* is insignificantly different amongst all the three plants.

Figure 1C and 1D shows percentage reduction in chlorine and phosphate with *P. stratiotes* having lower potential, significantly different with *V. zizanoides* while insignificantly different with *E.*

crassipes. *V. zizanoides* was insignificantly different with *E. crassipes*. The phosphate reduction in control was higher than in chlorine, EC and TDS.



Fig 1. Percentage Reduction of Electrical Conductivity, Total Dissolves Solid, Chlorine and Phosphate in *E. crassipes, P. stratiotes* and *V. zizanoides* plants used for phytoremediation

Percentage Reduction of HCO₃, BOD, COD and Sodium in *E. crassipes*, *P. stratiotes* and *V. zizanoides* plants used for phytoremediation

Figure 2E, 2F, 2G and 2H showed the percentage reduction of HCO₃, BOD, COD and Na using *V. zizanoides, E. crassipes* and *P. stratiotes plants for phytoremediation*. No significant difference at $P \le 0.05$ between reduction potentials of *V. zizanoides, E. crassipes* and *P. stratiotes* for the parameters.

Percentage Reductions Potassium (K), Nitrate (NO₃), Nitrogen (N) and Total Suspended Solid (TSS) in *E. crassipes, P. stratiotes* and *V. zizanoides* plants used for phytoremediation.

Figures 3I, 3J, 3K and 3L displayed the percentage reduction in K, NO_3 , N and TSS respectively using the

three plants for phytoremediation. There was significant difference at $P \le 0.05$ between *V. zizanoides* and *P. stratiotes* in reduction of the above parameters while insignificantly different with *E. crassipes. V. zizanoides* having the highest percentage potential.

Percentage Reduction of Ammonia (NH₃) and Sulphate (SO₄) in *E. crassipes, P. stratiotes* and *V. zizanoides* plants used for phytoremediation.

Figures 4M and 4N, showed percentage reduction of NH₃ and SO₄, respectively. *V. zizanoides* having the highest reduction potential and significantly different at $P \le 0.05$ with *E. crassipes*, *P. stratiotes* and control while no significant different between *E. crassipes* with both *V. zizanoides* and *P. stratiotes*.



Fig 2. Percentage reduction of HCO₃, BOD, COD and Sodium in *E. crassipes, P. stratiotes* and *V. zizanoides* plants used for phytoremediation.



Sahel Journal of Life Sciences FUDMA 2(1): 185-194, 2024

Fig 3. Percentage Reductions of Potassium (K), Nitrate (NO₃), Nitrogen (N) and Total Suspended Solid (TSS) in *E. crassipes, P. stratiotes* and *V. zizanoides* plants used for phytoremediation



Fig 4. Percentage Reductions in Potassium (K), Nitrate (NO₃), Nitrogen (N) and Total suspended Solid (TSS) in *E. crassipes, P. stratiotes* and *V. zizanoides* plants used for phytoremediation

Mean Variation in Percentage Reduction Potential of Phytoremediation of Physicochemical Parameters across Treatment

Table 1 showed the mean variation in percentage reduction of physicochemical parameters across treatment. There was significant difference at P \leq 0.05 (95% confidence) in reduction capacity between *E. crassipes, P. stratiotes* and *V. zizanoides* for EC (49.8, 41.6 and 51.4%), TDS (51.3, 47.0 and 63.0%), PO₄ (49.0, 45.3 and 53.0%), HCO₃ (48.4 46.5 and 59.8%), COD (47.3, 48.4 and 57.1%), NO₃ (51.6, 43.1 and 64.6%), N(46.6, 43.5 and56.4%), TSS (49.5, 42.7 and 60.3%), NH₃ (44.7, 40.8 and 53.2%) and SO₄ (53.9, 47.2 and 62.8%). Highest removal percentage was recorded with *V. zizanoides* (50% and above).

Concentration of Parameters in Roots and Shoots of *E. crassipes, V. zizanoides* and *P. stratiotes*

Figure 5A and B below showed the concentration of parameters in *E. crassipes* and *V. zizanoides* plants. There was higher concentration of parameters in the roots than in the shoot of *E. crassipes* and shoot of *V. zizanoides* than the roots of the plants.

Concentration of parameters in Roots and Shoots of *P. stratiotes* plant

Figure 6 below shows the concentration of parameters in *P. stratiotes* plant. There was even distribution with of parameters in the shoots and roots of the plants.

Table 1. Mean variation in the percentage reduction of physicochemical parameters across the Treatment conditions

Dhuaine ah amiant	Control		Detertistes	V -iideo	Dualua
Physicochemical	Control	E. crassipes	P. stratiotes	V. zizanoides	P-value
Parameters(mg/l)		%	%	%	
EC(µs/cm)	1.90±0.70 ^b	49.80±6.78ª	41.60±1.55 ^b	51.45±1.90 ^a	0.000
TDS	5.30±0.28 ^c	51.35±5.72 ^b	47.05±2.33 ^b	63.00±1.83ª	0.000
Cl	5.45±2.05 ^b	48.35±8.98 ^a	46.05±10.96 ^a	60.10±13.71ª	0.019
PO ₄	15.80±2.54 ^c	49.05±0.49 ^{ab}	45.35±2.19 ^b	53.02±0.87ª	0.000
HCO₃	5.25±1.20 ^c	48.40±2.26 ^b	46.50±2.12 ^b	59.85±1.48 ^a	0.000
BOD	5.80±3.81 ^b	54.30±4.94ª	44.90±6.22 ^a	59.85±10.96ª	0.005
COD	2.64±0.79 ^c	47.30±5.09 ^b	48.40±1.27 ^b	57.15±2.75 ^a	0.000
Na	11.35±3.46 ^b	47.60±3.39 ^a	45.60±9.05ª	62.50±11.17 ^ª	0.012
К	11.80±0.56 ^b	55.05±4.17 ^a	51.10±4.80 ^a	62.35±6.15 ^a	0.001
NO₃	10.35±1.06 ^c	51.60±3.53 ^{ab}	43.10±2.96 ^b	64.60±10.74 ^a	0.003
Ν	10.05±0.91 ^c	46.65±5.44 ^b	43.50±0.98 ^b	56.40±0.98 ^a	0.003
TSS	4.05±0.63 ^c	49.50±4.52 ^{ab}	42.75±1.76 ^b	60.35±10.96 ^a	0.022
NH ₃	5.85±0.91 ^c	44.75±2.33 ^b	40.80±1.41 ^b	53.20±3.25 ^a	0.000
SO ₄	2.50±0.14 ^c	53.90±0.70 ^{ab}	47.20±7.91 ^b	62.85±2.19 ^a	0.000

Note: Means with the same superscript are not ($P \le 0.05$) significantly different across the rows

KEY: EC = Electrical Conductivity, TDS = Total Dissolved Solids, DO = Dissolved Oxygen, BOD = Biological Oxygen Demand, COD = Chemical Oxygen Demand, NO₃ = Nitrate, TSS = Total Suspended Solids, NH₃ = Ammonia, SO₄ = Sulphate.







Fig 6. Concentration of parameters in Roots and Shoots of P. stratiotes plant

DISCUSSION

The potentials of water hyacinth (Eicchornia crassipes), waterlettuce (Pistia stratiotes) and Vetiver grass(Chrysopogon zizanoides) in treatment of wastewater assessed in this study was also reviewed Gupta al., (2012) for by et assessing phytoremediation techniques in the Treatment of water using water hyacinth, water lettuce and Vetiver grass, various contaminants such as TDS, TSS, EC, BOD, COD, Nitrogen, phosphorous, heavy metals and other contaminants have been minimized using the three plants and therefore reported to be cost effective compared to other methods. High potential of vetiver in this study was also reviewed by (Darajeh et al., 2019), in effectiveness of vetiver grass versus other plants such as Cyperus species, Phragmites species, and Typha species for phytoremediation of contaminated water and wide range of industrial and

domestic wastewater due to its extraordinary and unique morphological and physiological characteristics. But oppose the findings of (Gupta *et al.*, 2015), in the study of the treatment of ground water using phytoremediation technique at Kolar Gold Fields, India, where conclusively it revealed that water hyacinth had higher contaminant reduction capacity than water lettuce and vetiver grass. This could be due to less contaminants concentration in groundwater than wastewater which are toxic and hinder the extraction ability of water lettuce.

The highest accumulation capacity for nutrients of water hyacinth (*E. crassipes*) more than water lettuce (*P. stratiotes*) in this study was also observed by Nayanathara and Bindu, (2017) in a review of the effectiveness of water hyacinth and water lettuce for the treatment of Grey water were water hyacinth was shown to be more efficient than water lettuce .These

results may be attributed to the larger total root surface, active absorption area and leaf area and higher root activity, root biomass and net photosynthetic rate of water hyacinth than those of water lettuce. Even distribution of contaminants in *pistia stratiotes* living tissues was also reported by Daniel *et al.*, (2019) in the study of *Pistia stratiotes* for the phytoremediation and post treatment of domestic sewage, where the bioaccumulation of contaminants was detected in the living tissues of *P. stratiotes*.

In this study, the Concentration of elements are more in the shoots of *Vetiver* which agreed with the findings of (Keshtar *et al.*, 2016), in application of a *Vetiver* system for unconventional water treatment, parameters were distributed more in the shoots than the roots of the vetiver due to over saturation of components in the roots from the initial. But in disagreement with the findings of (Ashton *et al.*, 2017), in the study of phytoremediation potential of vetiver grass (*Vetiveria zizanoides*) for treatment of metal-contaminated water, where there were higher uptake of heavy metals in the roots than the shoots due to roots length and higher density of plants.

CONCLUSION

The assessed physicochemical parameters EC (951.83 μ s/m), PO₄ (64.46 mg/l), BOD (244.90 mg/l), COD (625.50 mg/l), TSS (27.30mg/l) and K (40.67mg/l) of Hospital wastewater has concentrations above acceptable threshold of WHO and FAO indicating contamination, and not appropriate for direct use or discharge into water bodies and use for irrigation purposes.

Vetiver grass (*V. zizanoides*) had the highest potential with percentage removal efficiency of (NO3 and Co = 64.60%, TDS = 63.0%, K, Cr and Zn = 62%, BOD = 59.85% and Cu = 60.60%) followed by *E. crassipes* and then *P. stratiotes* and hence significant reduction of contaminants was recorded after phytoremediation and highest concentration of contaminants was in the roots of *V. zizanoides*, shoots of *E.crassipes* and heterogeneous distribution in roots and shoots of *P. stratiotes*.

REFERENCES

Abebe, W., Nurelegna, T., Helmut, K., & Solomon, B. (2018). Bioremediation of brewery wastewater using hydroponics planted with vetiver grass in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Bioresource Bioprocess*, 5(1):39.

APHA. (2017). Standard methods for analysis of water and wastewater. 23rd edition. American Public Health Association, Inc., Washington D.C.

Arimieari, L.W., Sangodoyin, A.Y & Ereoforiokuma, N. S. (2014). Assessment of surface water quality in some selected locations in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 3:1146-1151.

Ashton, L.S; Sharifa, N.M.S; Faridiella, M.K; Ferdaus; M.Y; & Zelina, Z.I (2017). Phytoremediation potential of Vertiver Grass (*Vetiveria zizanoides*) for treatment of metal-contaminated water. *Water, Air, Soil pollution*. 158-228.

Calheiros, C.S; Rangel, A.O & Castro, P.M (2009).Treatment of industrial wastewater with two-stage constructed wetlands planted with *Typha latifolia* and *phragmites australis*, *Bioresource Technology*, 100(13):3205-3213.

Carolin, C.F., Kumar, P.S., Saravanan, A., Joshiba, G.J., & Naushad, M.U. (2017). Efficient techniques for the removal of toxic heavy metals from aquatic environment: A Review. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 5:2782-2799

Daniel, S., Affonso, C.G., Andreia, D.S & Jessica, M (2019). *Pistia stratiotes* in the phytoremediation and post treatment of domestic sewage. *International Journal of phytoremediation*, 21(1):1-10.

Darajeh, N., Truong, P., Rezania, S., Alizadeh, H., & Leung, D.W. (2019).Effectiveness of vetiver grass versus other plants for phytoremediation of contaminated water. *Journal of environmental treatment technology* 7:3, 485 -500

Dipu S., Kumar, A.A., & Thanga, V.S.G. (2011). Phytoremediation of dairy effluent by constructed wetland technology. *Environmentalist*, 31, 263-278.

Gupta, P., Roy, S., & Mahindrakar, A.B. (2012). Treatment of water using water Hyacinth,

water lettuce and Vetiver Grass- A review, Resources and Environment, 2(5):202-215.

Gupta, P., Surendra, R., & Amit, B.M. (2015).Treatment of ground water using phytoremediation technique at Kolar Gold Fields, India. *International Journal of Environmental Engineering*. 7(1):1-24.

Husain, A; Ashhar, M.M and Javed, I (2014). Analysis of industrial wastewater in Aligarh City. *Journal of Chemical Pharmaceutical Resources*, 6:614-621.

Isiuku, B. O., and Enyoh, C. E. (2019). Phytoremediation of polluted water bodies with aquatic plants. Recent progress on Heavy metal & organic pollutants: *Journal of Analytical Methods in Environmental Chemistry*, 1:1-38.

Keke, U.N; Arimoro, F.O; Ayanwale, A.V. and Aliyu, S.M. (2015). Physicochemical parameters and Heavy Metals content of surface water in Downstream. Kaduna River Zungeru, Niger State, Nigeria. *Applied Science Research Journal* 3(2):46-57.

Keshtkar, A.R., Ahmadi, M. R., Naseri, H.R., Atashi, H., Hamidifar, H., Razavi, S. M. and Moazami, N. (2016). Application of a Vetiver system for unconventional water treatment. *Desalination and water treatment*, Doi: 10.1080.43: 1-10.

Kumar, M. and Kumar, R. (2013). Assessment of physicochemical properties of groundwater in granite mining area in Goramachia, Jhansi (India). *International Resource Journal* of *environmental Science*, 2:19-24.

Lopez-chuken, U.J (2012). Hydroponics- a standard methodology for plant biological researches. Intech press, Croatia, pp 181-198. Mochungong, P and Zhu, J. (2015). DDTs, PCBs and PBDEs

contamination in Africa, Latin America and southsoutheast Asia—A review.

Nayanathara, O.S., and Bindu, A. G. (2017). Effectiveness of water hyacinth and water lettuce for the treatment of Grey water- A Review. *International Journal of innovative Research in Science and Engineering*, 3(1) 349.

Paz-Alberto, A. M. and Sigua, G. C. (2013). Phytoremediation: A green technology to remove environmental pollutants. *American Journal of climate change*. 2(1):1-16.

Ramachandra, S; Latha, N., & Ramachandra, M. (2014). Studies on population Dynamics and seasonal Abundance of Zooplankton Community in Dadda Vodera Hallilake Bangalore, *International Journal of emerging Trends Engineering Development*, 4(1), 50-55. Suhad, A.A; Suhail, N.A and Miklas S. (2018). Wetlands for wastewater treatment and subsequent recycling of treated effluent: A Review, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(24):235–95.

Tamil, S.A., Anjugam, E., Archana, D. R., Madhan, B & Kannappan, S. (2012). Isolation & characterization of Bacteria from tannery Effluent Treatment Plant & their Tolerance to heavy metals & antibodies. *Asian Journal of Exp. Biological*, 3:34-41.

Tsegahun, A. (2018). Review on hospital wastewater as a source of emerging drug resistance pathogens. *Journal of research in Environmental Science and toxicology*, 7(2):47-52.

World Health Organization (WHO) (2017). Guidelines for Drinking-water quality, 4th edition, incorporating the 1st addendum.